**Contents**

[Section 1 - Introduction & Overview 2](#_Toc515577661)

[Introduction 2](#_Toc515577662)

[Obtaining feedback from equalities/particular groups 3](#_Toc515577663)

[Helping people feel that their feedback is welcome 3](#_Toc515577664)

[Recording of feedback, comments and concerns 4](#_Toc515577665)

[Section 2 – Feedback Received in 2017/2018 4](#_Toc515577666)

[Volunteer Supported Feedback 4](#_Toc515577667)

[Care Assurance 5](#_Toc515577668)

[Enquiries via our generic email boxes and our website 5](#_Toc515577669)

[Social media channels 5](#_Toc515577670)

[Positive Engagement Score 6](#_Toc515577671)

[Care Opinion 6](#_Toc515577672)

[Feedback Forms (Speakeasy) 7](#_Toc515577673)

[Concerns 8](#_Toc515577674)

[Compliments 8](#_Toc515577675)

[Section 3 – Formal Complaints 10](#_Toc515577676)

[Overview 10](#_Toc515577677)

[Complaints Activity 10](#_Toc515577682)

[Themes from Complaints 12](#_Toc515577683)

[Learning from Complaints 13](#_Toc515577684)

[Experience of Making a Complaint 15](#_Toc515577685)

[Scottish Public Services Ombudsman (SPSO) 16](#_Toc515577686)

[Section 4 – Patient Engagement 16](#_Toc515577687)

[Expansion Project 16](#_Toc515577688)

[Involving People Strategy 17](#_Toc515577689)

[Section 5 –Education & Reporting 17](#_Toc515577690)

[Education & Awareness 17](#_Toc515577691)

[Reporting 18](#_Toc515577692)

[Section 6 – Conclusion 19](#_Toc515577693)


# Section 1 - Introduction & Overview

## Introduction

Here at Golden Jubilee National Hospital we aim to ensure every patient receives care that is safe, effective, person centred and high quality. In doing so it is vital that we listen to what our patients have to say about the service and care they receive from us. It is important that we celebrate and share positive feedback with clinical teams and that on the occasions where we do not get it right we quickly respond to this and learn from it.

This Annual Report on Feedback, Comments, Concerns and Complaints tells you how we manage and respond to feedback from patients who use our services to improve the care we deliver. We will also outline some examples of patient and public engagement undertaken as part of our ongoing service development in recognising the value and importance of that involvement at the outset of any major change.

We welcome feedback from a variety of methods as outlined below:



## Obtaining feedback from equalities/particular groups

We have several mechanisms in place to support particular groups in providing us with their feedback:

* People with hearing or visual impairments can use accessibility options on our website,
* People whose first language is not English can access an interpreter or request written information in their own language or format of their choice,
* Patients can access support from our advocacy provider if they do not feel confident about making a complaint or highlighting their concerns.

Further information showing how we work in partnership with a variety of equalities groups can be found in our recently published Equality Mainstreaming Report; <https://www.nhsgoldenjubilee.co.uk/files/7314/9553/3666/mainstreaming_report_2017.pdf>

We have connections with two independent advocacy services; alongside the national Patient Advice and Support Service (PASS), which is delivered via the Citizens Advice Bureau, we have a formal connection with Lomond and Argyll Advocacy Service (LAAS). There has been no uptake of the local service available via LAAS; which is thought to be due the nature of our service.

We do our best to make sure that everyone feels able to approach any member of staff with feedback and in turn that staff are confident in listening to and responding to this feedback. We always advocate discussing any issues locally in the first instance however recognise that in some instances patients may not wish to do so. In such instances our volunteer supported feedback mechanisms are highly valuable in offering patients an opportunity to speak with someone outwith the clinical team. We also have 20 post boxes throughout the hospital where patients can post feedback (this can be done anonymously) on their care. There is also support available from the Clinical Governance department in supporting feedback discussions with patients/ relatives.

## Helping people feel that their feedback is welcome

All of our feedback mechanisms are advertised across the Board in print and electronic formats. These are all easily accessible to people who may want to use them and can be requested in alternative formats of their choice.

Our website has also been reviewed to take account of the changes in national process and our revised leaflet. We hope the refreshed site will make it easier for people to access our various methods of feedback and also for us to easier share some example from feedback received.

## Recording of feedback, comments and concerns

It is essential that all feedback is shared with those who deliver the care particularly anyone who is named personally to ensure they receive any personal thanks and/ or recognition and to allow them an opportunity to respond to any feedback.

Support and guidance is provided to clinical staff from our senior managers, Executives, Corporate Affairs and Clinical Governance teams to enable them to respond to feedback. This streamlined approach means we have appropriate leadership and administrative support across our Board with a supporting governance structure.

We have a central system on which all formal complaints, comments, compliments are captured and shared with local leads allowing them to view/amend the records and share information with wider staff. Feedback gathered from other methods including our Volunteer Walk Rounds and Caring Assurance is captured via customised systems that allow similar reporting back to local areas.

We use feedback alongside other information to identify opportunities for improvement. A good example is the quarterly reports developed for services which combine adverse events and complaints to look at trends. In the last year we have also started including compliments in these reports and this has been received really well by staff.

# Section 2 – Feedback Received in 2017/2018

This section outlines what has been received over the last year from the various sources of feedback. We provide an overview of the sources of feedback and then detail what we have received within the feedback categories of concerns and compliments; formal complaints are addressed in Section 3.

## Volunteer Supported Feedback

Our Volunteer Quality Walk Rounds have continued during the last 12 months with trained volunteers visiting wards and departments to discuss the quality of care from both a patient and staff perspective. We know that staff and patients have found our volunteers extremely approachable and our experience is that they feel they can speak freely to them.

In 2017/18, Volunteer Quality Walk Round activity has increased once again, with over 400 visits made to our ward areas.  On each visit, 5 patients and 5 members of staff were interviewed using a standard question set.  Feedback from these interviews continues to be reported back to the ward manager and team. There has been significant work done over the last year to improve the simplicity of the questions and the system used to record the Walk Rounds. Having recognised a need to improve how we capture the information; this last year saw us explore and move towards the introduction of digital electronic tablets which connect directly to secure hospital WiFi.  Under GDPR and Cyber Security guidelines this will improve our data protection compliance.

In order to continue to improve our patient services and to ensure the quality of those services already on offer, our volunteers support teams to collate information through surveys. They are currently working the following teams:

* Catering - to consider patient views in respect of the quality and quantity of food on offer.
* Clinical Nutrition and Dietetics – to learn whether patients benefit from protected meal times and whether they require, and are offered (where appropriate) assistance with eating.
* Housekeeping – to support the monitoring of standards in patient areas.

## Care Assurance

We continue to use the Caring Behaviours Assurance System methodology as part of our care bundles within the Board. Over the last year a further 36 staff have undergone education and training to deliver the programme. There have been 21 agreed PCQI’s across clinical ward areas. The programme is supported by the volunteer walk rounds as a means of evaluating the experience of care from the patient’s perspective. We will continue to use this approach going forward and it will also be evidenced in our Excellence in Care work.

## Enquiries via our generic email boxes and our website

The Communications Department monitor and respond to all comments and questions received via the generic email boxes ([comms@gjnh.scot.nhs.uk](mailto:comms@gjnh.scot.nhs.uk) and [enquiries@gjnh.scot.nhs.uk](mailto:enquiries@gjnh.scot.nhs.uk)), sharing these with relevant staff.

We received a total of 2,838 emails to our generic Golden Jubilee National Hospital mailboxes, compared to 523 in the previous year (a 443% increase).

Of the 2,838 emails received, 2,813 were positive or neutral (99.12%) and 25 were negative (0.88%).

Examples of e-mails include:

* patients requiring information or help about appointments or procedures;
* relatives/carers needing visiting times/message to inpatients;
* professional requests for staff contact information;
* gratitude of care; and
* requests relating to recruitment and work experience.

Social media channels **– our corporate Facebook and Twitter channels**

* Our combined Facebook and Twitter reach – the number of people who have seen or read our posts – was 1,735,277, compared to 1,751,110 in the previous year (a 0.9% decrease). The higher reach in the previous year is attributed to the #Heart25 campaign.
* Our combined Facebook and Twitter engagement – the total number of comments, reactions, and shares/retweets – was 24,298 compared to 31,670 at 31 March 2016 (a 23% decrease). The higher engagement in the previous year is attributed to the #Heart25 campaign.
* Our Twitter followers increased to 3,041, compared to 2,498 in the previous year (a 21.7% increase).
* Our Facebook followers increased to 4,187, compared to 3,476 in the previous year (a 20.45% increase).
* A total of 1,127 ‘tweets’ were sent to/about the Golden Jubilee National Hospital (@JubileeHospital), compared to 649 in the previous year (a 73.65% increase). Of these, 1,125 were positive (99.82%) and 2 were negative (0.18%).
* A total of 1,901 ‘posts’ were posted on our Facebook ‘wall’ or ‘timeline’ or sent as a private message, compared to 2,200 in the previous year (a 13.63% decrease). Of these, 1,898 were positive (99.84) and 3 were negative (0.16%).
* We maintained an average rating of 4.8/5 stars. Out of 584 reviews, 528 rated us five star, 32 as four star, 10 as three star, 5 as two star, and 9 as one star.

## Positive Engagement Score

Our Positive Engagement Score (PES) creates a unique reputation score by collating all interactions, reviews and feedback from Facebook, Twitter and Patient Opinion as well as emails and media coverage.

A total of 6,035 ‘engagements’ were received, compared to 3,657 in the previous year (a 65% increase).

Of these 6,035 interactions, 5,997 were positive, factual or neutral (99.37%), and 38 were negative (0.63%).

The PES is 99.37% compared to 94.23% in the previous year. The lifetime PES remains high at 97.49%.

## Care Opinion

Care Opinion is an externally managed feedback programme which the Golden Jubilee has been actively using since 2011 to gather feedback from patients and relatives.

A total of 33 ‘opinions’ were published about the Golden Jubilee National Hospital, compared to 24 in the previous year (a 37.5% increase).

Of the 33 ‘opinions’, 26 were positive (78.79%) and 7 were negative (21.21%).

There are no trends in the negative posts; these relate to individual patient issues.

As Care Opinion is anonymous, directly when responding to negative posts, we always ask the poster to contact us so that we can look into their case. Of these one contacted us to say that they did not wish us to look into the matter any further.

## Feedback Forms (Speakeasy)

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
|  | Our feedback forms previously titled Speakeasy and open for patients/ relatives and staff to provide feedback; this could be done anonymously and forms posted in the mailboxes distributed throughout the hospital.  Over the last few years the number of these we receive has been declining. Last year we received 104 Speakeasy forms, compared to 146 and 195 in previous years.  In response to this we committed to reviewing the feedback forms to see if we could improve the form itself, availability and ultimately the number of feedback forms we receive. |

During this year we have redesigned our patient feedback form making this explicitly for patient/ relative feedback on care and experience only. We consulted with patients during the development with good response.

Every patient area will have a supply of feedback leaflets to encourage all patients/ relatives to provide us feedback. The leaflet also signposts other methods available to provide feedback including the complaints process. There is an option for anonymous feedback though we encourage people to share details so we can respond. The leaflet can be filled in and posted in the internal mailboxes while on site. It has been designed with our Freepost address on the back so patient/ relatives can self seal and send back to us.

This will be launched as part of ‘What Matters to You’ Day 2018 and we will monitor implementation during this year.

Concerns

In this year we received 31 concerns which is a slight decrease of 16% compared to 2016/17. Of those received the majority (14) originated via Speakeasy forms with 4 coming via Care Opinion and others via e-mail, letter and phone. The chart below summarises the top five concern categories in 2017/18. Communication was top last year which has lowered this year with patient journey the highest.

Chart 1 – Top 6 Concern Categories

04/2017 – 03/2018

Given the changes in the national definitions and process we anticipated that issues previously dealt with as concerns would be managed as stage 1 complaints so the reduction in overall concerns is not unexpected. All concerns we receive are formally logged and we aim to respond to these within 5 working days; in effect we manage them like a stage 1 formal complaint. Each concern receives verbal feedback from Clinical Governance to thank them for their feedback, apologise as appropriate and advise what action is being taken in response.

## Compliments

In this year we had 96 compliments formally logged. It must be highlighted that the wards and staff members continuously received thank you cards/letters/messages and general complimentary feedback on a daily basis which is not formally logged. As with concerns all people providing a compliment are thanked for their feedback and the message passed to the area to which it relates (often it is the area which have received and sent to us for formal logging).

Some examples of compliments:

*Attention by doctors, nurses and staff members was excellent and made my stay very comfortable and helped me to be at ease. Not one complaint. 10 out of 10.*

*Relative wishes to express her thanks to all staff involved in her father's care. She reports that they were always happy to talk with her and to give support. She said that the hospital is always clean and bright. The canteen is good value and the hospital in general has a calming atmosphere.*

*Interpreter was excellent and a great help during visit to the hospital*

*Letter sent to MSP from patient who wished to express his appreciation for the NHS. He expressed thanks for the care received at the GJNH that his wife received for a knee replacement. He praises the surgeons, doctors and theatre operating teams and the nurses.*



# Section 3 – Formal Complaints

## Overview

During 2016/17 the Clinical Governance Department, alongside other Health Boards were supported the national work led by the Scottish Public Services Ombudsman to develop the New Scotland Complaints Handling Procedure Scotland (CHP). This process is supported with a change in the legislation and went live on the 1st April 2017.

The overall aim of revising the process was to look to minimise any variation in practice, encourage local resolution of appropriate concerns and to ensure the focus on learning. In practice, we now have a process with two separate stages for complaints:

* Stage 1 – Early Resolution

There are 5 days to allow opportunity for local resolution of complaints. Complaints resolved at Stage 1 do not require a written response.

* Stage 2 – Investigation

If early resolution is not achieved or is not possible (i.e. due to complexity of complaint it requires more than 5 days) then Stage 2 will commence. This is the 20 day timeline that currently exists.

## Complaints Activity

During 2017/18 there were 82 formal complaints received (53 stage 1 and 29 stage 2 as shown in Chart 2). Overall this is an increase since 2016/17 of 36, equating to an increase of 78%.

Chart 2 – Formal Complaints by Stage and Month

04/2017 – 03/2018

We have found that issues previously captured as concerns are now being managed as Stage 1 complaints; refer back to the 16% decrease in concerns. Chart 3 shows the level of complaints and concerns over the last 3 years; looking at both combined this year the total has increased by 36%.

Chart 3 – Formal Complaints and Concerns Annual

2013/14 – 2017/18

We believe strongly the increase in complaints is linked to the changes in process and the education and increased awareness improving the capture of issues at Stage 1.

We continue to monitor the level of complaints in relation to patient activity with the Stage 2 formal complaints rate one of our KPI’s on our Corporate Balance Scorecard. Chart 4 below shows that in relation to activity levels remained low at under 0.07% throughout the year.

Chart 4 – Formal Complaints as % of Patient Activity

04/2017 – 03/2018

The table below gives an overview of the complaints by stage and month received and shows the timescales for response and if the complaint was upheld or not. Of the 82 formal complaints received two did not progress; one was withdrawn and the other time barred and is therefore excluded from the data below.

As shown, stage 2 timelines for response within 20 working days proved challenging during Q1. Several factors affected this however close monitoring and review of internal processes improved this throughout the rest of the year, whilst continuing to ensure the quality of the response; it remains under continuous review.

Stage 1 complaints are reported against the 5 day timeline; any that did not meet this had agreed extensions and were closed within the 10 day period. Examples of reasons for extensions:

* *No reply on day 5 when contact was made via telephone on day 5, or prior to day 5. Complainant then contacted service and complaint and findings discussed*
* *Relevant detail required from specific manager who was on annual leave*
* *One Stage 2 complaint was progressed to a Significant Adverse Event*
* *Complexity of complaint at Stage 2*
* *Unexpected leave from those involved in complaint process and investigation*

Table 1 – Complaints by Stage, Outcome and Timescale

2017/2018

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  | Total | Stage | Fully Upheld | Partially Upheld | Not Upheld | Closed within timescale | Average response times |
| Q1 | **22** | **Stage 1 = 14** | 10 | 2 | 2 | 12 (86%) | 3.5 days |
| **Stage 2 = 8** | 4 | 1 | 3 | 3 (43%) | 23 days\* |
| Q2 | **18** | **Stage 1 = 15** | 9 | 2 | 4 | 11 (73%) | 4.3 days |
| **Stage 2 = 3** | 1 | 0 | 2 | 3(100%) | 19 days |
| Q3 | **20** | **Stage 1 = 11** | 6 | 3 | 2 | 9 (82%) | 4.5 days |
| **Stage 2 = 9** | 2 | 3 | 4 | 8 (89%) | 18 days |
| Q4 | **20** | **Stage 1 = 12** | 5 | 2 | 5 | 10 (83%) | 4.3 days |
| **Stage 2 = 8** | 3 | 1 | 4 | 8 (100%) | 19 days |
| Total | **80** | **Stage 1 = 52** | 30 | 9 | 13 | 42 (79%) | 4.1 days |
| **Stage 2 = 28** | 10 | 5 | 13 | 22 (79%) | 19 days |
|  |  | **Total = 80** | 40 (50%) | 14 (17.5%) | 26 (32.5%) | 64 (80%) | n/a |

**\*not included in the overall figure**

Q1\* One stage 2 complaint progressed to an RCA

Q2\* One stage 2 complaint was time barred

Q4\* One stage 1 complaint was withdrawn

## Themes from Complaints

The charts below show the themes of all complaints over the last two years, separating out the upheld complaint themes. The top theme across both was communication which has risen significantly since 2016/17 with clinical treatment decreasing. At times the communication relates to explanation or understanding of clinical treatment and not the quality of care or treatment itself.

In total this year there were 38 communication complaints received. Of these 61% were upheld (15 fully upheld and 8 partially upheld). Radiology (6) and Business Services (5) had the highest upheld communications themed complaints during 2017/18; however there was no one common factor within these.

The high rate of upheld is not unexpected given the nature of the complaints; an example of one not upheld links to a patient who complained about our automated call system however on investigation our system was not responsible for the call.

Some examples of the upheld issues are:

* *Patient was brought in for surgery and not advised the surgery had been cancelled*
* *Patient was unhappy with the staff nurse’s attitude*
* *Patient was seen in Cardiology and subsequently transferred for Cardiac Surgery. Patient and family unhappy with the length of wait between appointments for an admission date*
* *Postal error resulted in patient incurring charges*

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Chart 5 – Formal Complaint Themes  2017/2018 | Chart 6 – Upheld Complaint Themes 2017/2018 |

## Learning from Complaints

All feedback helps us improve our services for our patients and visitors. Where complaints are upheld a full apology is given and learning is identified.

We are actively making changes to improve the links between feedback and Significant Adverse Events (SAE). In this year we have had three complaints progress to an SAE review with two leading to Root Cause Analysis (RCA) investigations.

**What was the feedback? (Stage 1 complaint)**

Patient’s daughter wished to complaint that her mother was in for an MRI and asked for assistance to get down from the radiology bed. The staff refused which caused her to fall.

**What was the outcome for the complainant?**

The Radiology manager spoke to the complainant and sincerely apologised. She advised the complainant that staff should have assisted her mother from the bed.

**What we did/changed?**

* Full discussion with all staff involved in patient’s care
* Education and awareness needs identified
* All staff received additional Moving and Handling training
* Radiology Manager seeking support from the Moving and Handling team for additional compatible aids to assist staff and patients
* Complaint was discussed at the relevant Governance group to ensure that all relevant management were made aware

**What was the feedback? (Stage 2 complaint)**

Complainant’s wife contacted the GJF to complain regarding the delay in her husband’s care and treatment.

**What was the outcome for the complainant?**

Investigation had shown the breakdown in communication between Consultants and ownership of the patient. The patient had a change in Consultant from the initial consultation to the procedure, therefore the patient’s care was not owned by one Consultant. This led to mis-communication of the follow up for the patient.

Full apology was given and learning identified.

This complaint was progressed to the Scottish Public Services Ombudsman, which is detailed later in this section.

**What we did/changed?**

* A new procedure to ensure transfer of care between consultants has been written and implemented. This will ensure that all patients have a responsible consultant and will avoid breakdown in communication from consultant to patient.
* A Standard Operating Procedure has been written and implemented to the secretarial team, to ensure that all telephone messages for consultants are logged within a diary and the consultant must sign this once the message has been received and actioned.

**What was the feedback? (Concern)**

Patient wish to raise concerns that whilst attending an appointment at the hospital they could not get parked in a ‘patient’ space as staff were parking in these spaces.

**What was the outcome for the complainant?**

Email was sent to fully apologise to the patient, via the Care Opinion website.

**What we did/changed?**

* An internal communication was sent to all staff to emphasise the importance of parking in the allocated parking
* At peak morning time for staff arriving security patrol the car park to ensure staff are parking appropriately

The following is a summary of some of the improvements to the service from all feedback received during 2017/18:

* Error in patient appointment letters identified, amendments made to ensure they are appropriate for partially sighted patients and in line with our Equalities policies
* Consent forms for Interventional Cardiology revised with procedure specific forms developed to contain specific information on risks and benefits of procedure to support communication at time of consent
* Staff member named in complaint about staff attitude reflected on the communication and met with complainant to discuss, full apology given and complaint resolved
* Patient was unhappy with outcome of anaesthetic consultation, second opinion arranged

## 

## Experience of Making a Complaint

Each complainant (stage 1 and stage 2) now receives a follow up survey to ask about their experience of making a complaint. In line with guidance, this is done anonymously and is still very much in the testing phase at present. During 2017/18 we received 52 stage 1 complaints of which 47 received surveys. The response rate of stage 1 surveys is 34%, with mainly positive comments (12 of the 16 received). The response rate for stage 2 complaints has not been as positive with only 16% of surveys returned. Overall from all the responses received 68% advised their concerns had been addressed. As these are anonymous we are reliant on the complainant coming back to us if they wish to re-open their complaint.

We did observe a trend of Stage 1’s initially not being satisfied with the outcome and have implemented a follow up call from the Feedback & Legal Co-ordinator prior to closure of any Stage 1’s to confirm concerns have been addressed; we have seen an improvement since this.

## Scottish Public Services Ombudsman (SPSO)

We had five cases (one of which was a shared complaint with another health board) referred to the Scottish Public Services Ombudsman (SPSO) in 2017/18.

At the time of report one case has been rejected by the SPSO, one is still under consideration and 3 have been closed by the SPSO. Two of the closed cases were not upheld and no learning was identified. One case was fully upheld with one recommendation, as the GJNH had actioned considerable learning from this complaint, which the SPSO were satisfied with.

# Section 4 – Patient Engagement

## Expansion Project

The hospital is in the early stages of a major expansion programme which will be implemented over two phases. As part of the business case development for both phases the Project Team have been linking to the Scottish Health Council to ensure patient and public engagement in the development of the proposals.

There have been multiple stakeholder engagement workshops undertaken to share with patients, public and staff the plans and seek views on proposals and also the service currently provided. These will continue as the project develops.

In addition to the workshops there have also been surveys done with patients from ophthalmology and orthopaedics, the two main clinical specialities within the scope of the expansion. Both surveys managed to receive an excellent response rate and overall the feedback received was highly positive.

**Ophthalmology**

* In total 674/900 patients fed back their views on the service provided with responses received from patients from six different health boards giving a 75% response rate.
* 96% of patients agreed or strongly agreed that they would recommend the service to their friends and family
* 94% agreed or strongly agreed it was worth travelling to the Golden Jubilee for their treatment.
* 98% agreed or strongly agreed that staff were pleasant and helpful
* 95% would recommend the service to friends and family

**Orthopaedics**

* Collection of survey data in continuing for this group however to date 367/600 patients have responded, a 61% response rate with responses received from patients from fourteen different health boards
* 97% of patients agreed or strongly agreed that they would recommend the service to their friends and family
* 97% agreed or strongly agreed it was worth travelling to the Golden Jubilee for their treatment.
* 99% agreed or strongly agreed that staff were pleasant and helpful
* 97% agreed or strongly agreed they were given enough time to discuss treatment options with the surgeon at outpatient appointment

## Involving People Strategy

We have continued implementation of our wider Involving People Strategy within the year with support from Scottish Health Council via our Involving People Group and Expansion work and also progressing out Equalities Outcomes.

Following a change in Non-Executive Director roles those supporting the Person Centred Committee and Volunteer Forum have changed.

# Section 5 –Education & Reporting

## Education & Awareness

Our corporate objectives are focussed on delivery of our Vision and Values; these are cascaded through the Executive team to all parts of the organisation. All staff have a Personal Development Plan and Personal Development Review annually ensuring that appropriate levels of training are in place to enable staff to reach their own potential and to support the needs of the organisation. These plans are completed with an ethos of customer care and focus on our values.

A number of systems are in place to support staff and there is training available on:

* Challenging Behaviours
* Giving/ Receiving Feedback
* Face-to-Face communications
* Scenarios are ‘played out’ at our annual Equalities Event.
* Customer Care and Communications strategies, including Plain English and e-mail etiquette.

To support the Duty of Candour legislation several staff attended the national events supported by NES. Internal Duty of Candour drop in sessions were held in the Innovation Centre with over 100 staff attending. Further sessions are planned throughout the first year of implementation.

Manager, Clinical Nurse Managers, Senior Charge Nurses and Clinical Governance Lead roles invited to undertake the online Learn Pro complaints module from NES.

A SharePoint site has been created with a Feedback Toolkit which contains the policy and supporting guidance accessible to all staff.

The Clinical Governance department provide ongoing One to One Datix/New Complaints Handling Procedure training as required

## Reporting

* The Clinical Governance Department provide Divisions with regular reports on complaints activity.
* There are formal monthly and quarterly reports via the Clinical Governance Group and Board Clinical Governance Committee which report on activity and the KPI’s.
* The Involving People Group and Person Centred Committee receive regular reports on feedback including formal complaints.
* The Values Steering Group receives a report on complaints relating to communication and staff attitude.
* Complaints indicators are included in the Corporate Balance Scorecards which is reported to the Board.

# Section 6 – Conclusion

This report has provided an overview of the various feedback mechanisms we have in place; we are proud of the focus our staff and volunteers give to patient feedback and will continue to develop this moving forward.

The different types of feedback we have received, positive and negative have been explored detailing our first year of working within the new Complaints Handling Procedure. We have been challenged at times with the 20 day response time for Stage 2 complaints and endeavour to achieve this whilst ensuring a quality response. Overall this has been a positive experience, it has supported developments in our process and we believe increased the capture of locally resolved issues.

This coming year we will continue to refine our formal complaints process, participating in the national complaints network to learn from others experience also. We will be launching our revised Patient Feedback leaflet, monitoring the implementation and uptake of this.

We will continue to actively engage patients, staff and public in our Expansion project building on the good work undertaken to date.

Finally we will continue to encourage feedback of all forms and to seek learning, using this to inform improvements to our service and ensure developments continue to be person centred and high quality.